



TITLE:

# ON $\alpha$ -CONVEX FUNCTIONS OF ORDER $\beta$ OF RUSCHEWEYH TYPE-II

AUTHOR(S):

NUNOKAWA, M.; AOUF, M.K.; DARWISH, H.E.

---

CITATION:

NUNOKAWA, M. ...[et al]. ON  $\alpha$ -CONVEX FUNCTIONS OF ORDER  $\beta$  OF RUSCHEWEYH TYPE-II. 数理解析研究所講究録 1997, 1012: 82-91

ISSUE DATE:

1997-09

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/61543>

RIGHT:

## ON $\alpha$ -CONVEX FUNCTIONS OF ORDER $\beta$ OF RUSCHEWEYH TYPE-II

M. NUNOKAWA, M. K. AOUF AND H. E. DARWISH

( 群馬大学 )

( University of Mansoura )

ABSTRACT. The object of the present paper is to establish an interesting result for certain multivalent functions in the unit disc.

---

KEY WORDS-  $p$ -Valent, convolution, Ruscheweyh derivative.

AMS (1991) Subject Classification. 30C45.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $A(p)$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}) \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the unit disc  $U = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ . For functions  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) defined by

$$f_j(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k, \quad (1.2)$$

we define the convolution  $f_1 * f_2(z)$  of functions  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  by

$$f_1 * f_2(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} z^k. \quad (1.3)$$

With the convolution above, we define

$$D^{n+p-1}f(z) = \left[ \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{n+p}} \right] * f(z) \quad (f(z) \in A(p)), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $n$  is any integer greater than  $-p$ . We note that

$$D^{n+p-1}f(z) = \frac{z^p (z^{n-1} f(z))^{(n+p-1)}}{(n+p-1)!}. \quad (1.5)$$

The symbol  $D^{n+p-1}$  when  $p = 1$  was introduced by Ruscheweyh [11], and the symbol  $D^{n+p-1}$  was introduced by Goel and Sohi

[3]. Therefore, one called the symbol  $D^{n+p-1}f(z)$  the  $(n+p-1)$ -th order Ruscheweyh derivative of  $f(z)$ . It follows from (1.5) that

$$z(D^{n+p-1}f(z))' = (n+p)D^{n+p}f(z) - n D^{n+p-1}f(z). \quad (1.6)$$

In [3] Goel and Sohi have introduced the class

$$K_{n+p-1} = \left\{ f(z) \in A(p) : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+p}f(z)}{D^{n+p-1}f(z)} \right\} > \frac{1}{2} \right\} \quad (1.7)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and proved the theorem:

$$K_{n+p} \subset K_{n+p-1}. \quad (1.8)$$

In [10] Soni had the generalization of Singh and Singh [9]:

$$R(n+p) \subset R(n+p-1), \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$R(n+p-1) = \left\{ f(z) \in A(p) : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+p}f(z)}{D^{n+p-1}f(z)} \right\} > \frac{n+p-1}{n+p} \right\} \quad (1.10)$$

where  $n$  is any integer greater than  $-p$ .

A function  $f(z) \in A(p)$  is said to be in the class  $R_\beta(n+p-1, \alpha)$  if it satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1-\alpha) \frac{D^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)} + \alpha \frac{D^{n+p+1} f(z)}{D^{n+p} f(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (1.11)$$

for all  $z \in U$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta < 1$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n$  is any integer greater than  $-p$ . The class  $R_{\beta}(n+p-1, \alpha)$  was introduced by Chen and Lan [2]. Also the class  $R_{\beta}(n+p-1, \alpha)$  ( $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) was studied by Kumar and Reddy [6].

We note that when  $p = 1$ , the class  $R_{\frac{1}{2}}(n, \alpha) = M R_n(\alpha)$  was studied by Al-Amiri [1]. Also when  $p = 1$  and  $0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , the class  $R_{\beta}(n, \alpha) = T_{n, \beta}(\alpha)$  was studied by Goel and Sohi [3].

## 2. Main Result

In order to prove our main result, we recall here the following lemmas:

### Lemma 1 (Chen and Lan [2])

For  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n$  is any integer greater than  $-p$  and  $\alpha$  is real

$$(i) \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{\{[2\beta(n+p+1)-3\alpha] + \sqrt{[2\beta(n+p+1)-3\alpha]^2 + 8\alpha(n+p+1-\alpha)}\}}{4(n+p+1-\alpha)} < 1 \quad (2.1)$$

when  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 1$  and  $\alpha \neq n+p+1$

$$(ii) \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{(3 - 2\beta)} < 1 \quad (2.2)$$

when  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 1$ .

Lemma 2 (Miller [7]; Miller and Mocanu [8])

Let  $\varphi(u,v)$  be a complex-valued function,

$\varphi: D \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $D \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$  ( $\mathbb{C}$  is the complex plane),

and let  $u = u_1 + iu_2$ ,  $v = v_1 + iv_2$ . Suppose that the function  $\varphi(u,v)$  satisfies the following conditions:

(i)  $\varphi(u,v)$  is continuous in  $D$ ;

(ii)  $(1,0) \in D$  and  $\operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(1,0)\} > 0$ ;

(iii)  $\operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(iu_2, v_1)\} \leq 0$  for all  $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$  such that

$$v_1 \leq -\frac{(1+u_2^2)}{2}.$$

Let  $q(z) = 1 + q_1 z + q_2 z^2 + \dots$  be regular in the unit disc  $U$ , such that  $(q(z), zq'(z)) \in D$  for all  $z \in U$ . If

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\varphi(q(z), zq'(z))\right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\{q(z)\} > 0 \quad (z \in U).$$

Applying Lemma 2, we derive the following:

Theorem 1. Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) be in the class  $R_{\beta}(n+p-1, \alpha)$  ( $n > -p$ ,  $\alpha$  is real), then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)} \right\} > \gamma(\alpha, \beta, n, p) \quad (z \in U), \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\gamma(\alpha, \beta, n, p) = \frac{[2\beta(n+p+1)-3\alpha] + \sqrt{[2\beta(n+p+1)-3\alpha]^2 + 8\alpha(n+p+1-\alpha)}}{4(n+p+1-\alpha)}$$

$$\text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2(n+p+1)} < 1 \text{ and } \alpha \neq n+p+1$$

and

$$\gamma(\alpha, \beta, n, p) = \frac{1}{(3-2\beta)} \quad \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 1 \text{ and } \alpha = n+p+1.$$

Therefore,  $f(z)$  is in the class  $R_{\beta}(n+p-1, \gamma(\alpha, \beta, n, p))$ .

Proof. Define the function  $q(z)$  by

$$\frac{D^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)} = \gamma + (1-\gamma)q(z), \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\gamma = \gamma(\alpha, \beta, n, p)$ . Then  $q(z) = 1 + q_1 z + q_2 z^2 + \dots$  is regular in the unit disc  $U$ . Making use of the logarithmic differentiations of both sides of (2.4), we obtain

$$\frac{D^{n+p+1}f(z)}{D^{n+p}f(z)} = \frac{1}{(n+p+1)} \left\{ 1 + (n+p) [\gamma + (1-\gamma)q(z)] + \frac{(1-\gamma)zq'(z)}{[\gamma + (1-\gamma)q(z)]} \right\}. \quad (2.5)$$

It follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1-\alpha) \frac{D^{n+p}f(z)}{D^{n+p-1}f(z)} + \alpha \frac{D^{n+p+1}f(z)}{D^{n+p}f(z)} - \beta \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1-\alpha) [\gamma + (1-\gamma)q(z)] + \frac{\alpha}{(n+p+1)} \left[ 1 + (n+p) [\gamma + (1-\gamma)q(z)] \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{(1-\gamma)zq'(z)}{[\gamma + (1-\gamma)q(z)]} \right] - \beta \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{(n+p+1)} - \beta + \frac{(n+p+1-\alpha)}{(n+p+1)} [\gamma + (1-\gamma)q(z)] \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\alpha(1-\gamma)zq'(z)}{(n+p+1) [\gamma + (1-\gamma)q(z)]} \right\} > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Letting  $u = u_1 + iu_2$ ,  $v = v_1 + iv_2$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u, v) &= \frac{\alpha}{(n+p+1)} - \beta + \frac{(n+p+1-\alpha)}{(n+p+1)} [\gamma + (1-\gamma)u] \\ & \quad + \frac{\alpha(1-\gamma)v}{(n+p+1) [\gamma + (1-\gamma)u]}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

we see that

$$(i) \quad \varphi(u, v) \text{ is continuous in } D = \left[ C - \left\{ \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \right\} \right] \times C;$$



(ii)  $(1,0) \in D$  and  $\operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(1,0)\} = 1 - \beta > 0$ ;

(iii) for all  $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$  such that  $v_1 \leq -\frac{(1+u_2^2)}{2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(iu_2, v_1)\} &= \frac{\alpha}{(n+p+1)} - \beta + \left(\frac{n+p+1-\alpha}{n+p+1}\right)\gamma + \frac{\alpha\gamma(1-\gamma)v}{(n+p+1)[\gamma^2 + (1-\gamma)^2 u_2^2]} \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{(n+p+1)} - \beta + \left(\frac{n+p+1-\alpha}{n+p+1}\right)\gamma + \frac{\alpha\gamma(1-\gamma)(1+u_2^2)}{2(n+p+1)[\gamma^2 + (1-\gamma)^2 u_2^2]} \\ &\leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

because  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2(n+p+1)} < 1$ ,  $\alpha \neq (n+p+1)$  and  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma < 1$

(see (2.1)). This implies that the function  $\varphi(u,v)$  satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2. Thus applying Lemma 2, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+p}f(z)}{D^{n+p-1}f(z)}\right\} > \gamma = \gamma(\alpha, \beta, n, p) \quad (z \in U).$$

Similarly, the other case of Theorem 1 can be proved by using (2.2). Hence the proof is completed.

Making  $\alpha = 1$  in Theorem 1, we get

Corollary 1. If  $f(z) \in R_{\beta}(n+p-1, 1)$  ( $n > -p$ ), with  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta$

$< 1 - \frac{1}{2(n+p+1)} < 1$ , then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+p}f(z)}{D^{n+p-1}f(z)}\right\} > \frac{[2\beta(n+p+1)-3] + \sqrt{[2\beta(n+p+1)-3]^2 + 8(n+p)}}{4(n+p)} \quad (z \in U) \quad (2.9)$$

Letting  $\beta = \beta' = \frac{3\alpha}{2(n+p+1)}$  in Theorem 1, we have

Corollary 2. If  $f(z) \in R_{\beta, (n+p-1, \alpha)}$  ( $n > -p$ ), with  $n+p+1 > 2\alpha$ , then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)} \right\} > \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2(n+p+1-\alpha)}} \quad (z \in U). \quad (2.10)$$

Making  $n = 1-p$  and  $\alpha = 1$  in Theorem 1, we get

Corollary 3. If  $f(z) \in R_{\beta}(0,1)$  with

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \frac{(4\beta-3) + \sqrt{(4\beta-3)^2 + 8}}{4} \quad (z \in U). \quad (2.11)$$

Remark. We note that Chen and Lan [2] have obtained the same results in Theorem 1 by applying Jack's Lemma [5].

#### References

- [1] H. S. Al-Amiri, Certain analogy of the  $\alpha$ -convex functions, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 23 (1978), no. 10, 1449-1453.
- [2] M. -P. Chen and I.-R. Lan, On  $\alpha$ -convex functions of order  $\beta$  of Ruscheweyh type, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 12 (1989), no. 1, 107-112.
- [3] R. M. Goel and N.S. Sohi, A new criterion for  $p$ -valent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1980), 353-357.

- its applications, Glas. Mat. 16 (36) (1981), 39-49.
- [5] I. S. Jack, Functions starlike and convex of order  $\alpha$ , J. London Math. Soc. (2) 3 (1971), 469-474.
- [6] G. A. Kumar and G. L. Reddy, Some extensions of  $p$ -valent class of functions with Ruscheweyh derivatives, Math. Student 61 (1992), no. 1-4, 67-72.
- [7] S. S. Miller, Differential inequalities and Caratheodory function, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1975), 79-81.
- [8] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 65 (1978), 289-305.
- [9] R. Singh and S. Singh, Integrals of certain univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1979), 336-340.
- [10] A. K. Soni, Generalizations of  $p$ -valent functions via the Hadamard product, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 5 (1982), 289-299.
- [11] St. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 49 (1975), 109-115.

N. Nunokawa  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Education  
 Gunma University  
 4-2, Aramaki-machi  
 Maebashi Gunma  
 371, Japan

M. K. Aouf and H.E. Darwish  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Science  
 University of Mansoura  
 Mansoura, Egypt